

**Scoring (S)**

5 = Outstanding

4 = Very Good

3 = Good

2 = Adequate

1 = Poor

0 = No Evidence

Location: _____

CRITERIA	WEIGHTING (W)	SCORING (S)	ASSESSMENT VALUE (WxS)
Evidence to support the need for intervention and to evaluate effectiveness in terms of reducing casualties.	4		
Targets Sites, Routes or Areas with a history of KSI casualties (5 yrs)	5		
Supports casualty reduction (by user) (<i>more than one weighting may apply</i>)	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • High Risk Groups = 4 • Vulnerable Groups = 2 		
Recorded Vehicular Speeds (<i>above enforceable speed limit</i>)	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • 10 % \geq 20mph = 5 • 10 % \geq 30mph = 4 • 10 % \geq 40mph = 3 • 10 % \geq 50mph = 3 • 10 % \geq 60mph = 3 		

Traffic Flows (AADF)	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • 1000 - 2000 = 1 • 2000 - 3000 = 2 • 3000 - 4000 = 3 • 4000 - 5000 = 4 • 5000 + = 5 		
Potential impact on improving routes including to and from School	5		
Schemes effectiveness in changing behaviour / attitude to active travel	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • School Pupils = 5 • Wider Community = 4 		
Environmental Impact of scheme.	3		
Equalities Impact of scheme	5		
Evidence of support / involvement (<i>more than one weighting may apply</i>)	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Local Member = 5 • School = 4 • Community = 3 		
Value for Money / Deliverability	3		
			Total: