

ENVIRONMENT OVERVIEW & SCRUTINY COMMITTEE

Date of Meeting	Tuesday, 15 th January 2019
Report Subject	Council Plan 2018/19 Mid-Year Monitoring Report
Cabinet Member	Cabinet Member for Planning and Public Protection; and Cabinet Member for Streetscene and Countryside
Report Author	Chief Officer (Planning, Environment and Economy); and Chief Officer (Streetscene and Transportation)
Type of Report	Operational

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

The Council Plan 2018/19 was adopted by the Council in June 2018. This report presents a summary of performance at the mid-year point for the Council Plan priority 'Green Council' relevant to the Environment Overview & Scrutiny Committee.

Flintshire is a high performing Council as evidenced in previous Council Plan monitoring reports and the recent Annual Performance Report. This mid-year monitoring report for the 2018/19 Council Plan shows that 88% of activities are making good progress with 81% likely to achieve their planned outcomes. 79% of the performance indicators have met or exceeded their targets. Risks are being managed with a minority of 18% being assessed as major.

This report is an exception based report and therefore detail focuses on the areas of under-performance.

RECOMMENDATIONS

1	That the Committee consider the mid-year Council Plan Monitoring Report 2018/19 to monitor under performance and request further information as appropriate.
---	--

REPORT DETAILS

1.00	EXPLAINING THE COUNCIL PLAN 2018/19 MONITORING REPORT
1.01	The Council Plan monitoring reports give an explanation of the progress being made toward the delivery of the impacts set out in the 2018/19 Council Plan. The narrative is supported by performance indicators and / or milestones which evidence achievement. In addition, there is an assessment of the strategic risks and the level to which they are being controlled.
1.02	This is an exception based report and detail therefore focuses on the areas of under-performance.
1.03	<p>Monitoring our Activities</p> <p>Each of the sub-priorities have high level activities which are monitored over time. 'Progress' monitors progress against scheduled activity and has been categorised as follows: -</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • RED: Limited Progress – delay in scheduled activity; not on track • AMBER: Satisfactory Progress – some delay in scheduled activity, but broadly on track • GREEN: Good Progress – activities completed on schedule, on track <p>A RAG status is also given as an assessment of our level of confidence at this point in time in achieving the 'outcome(s)' for each sub-priority. Outcome has been categorised as: -</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • RED: Low – lower level of confidence in the achievement of the outcome(s) • AMBER: Medium – uncertain level of confidence in the achievement of the outcome(s) • GREEN: High – full confidence in the achievement of the outcome(s)
1.05	<p>Monitoring our Performance</p> <p>Analysis of performance against the Improvement Plan performance indicators is undertaken using the RAG (Red, Amber Green) status. This is defined as follows: -</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • RED equates to a position of under-performance against target. • AMBER equates to a mid-position where improvement may have been made but performance has missed the target. • GREEN equates to a position of positive performance against target.
1.06	<p>In summary our overall progress against activities is:</p> <p>Progress</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • We are making good (green) progress in 46 (88%). • We are making satisfactory (amber) progress in 6 (12%). <p>Outcome</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • We have a high (green) level of confidence in the achievement of 42

	<p>(81%) outcomes.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • We have a medium (amber) level of confidence in the achievement of 10 (19%) outcomes. • There are no low (red) levels of confidence.
1.07	<p>Monitoring our Performance</p> <p>Analysis of performance against the Council Plan performance indicators is undertaken using the RAG status. This is defined as:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • RED - under-performance against target. • AMBER - where improvement may have been made but performance has missed the target. • GREEN - positive performance against target.
1.08	<p>Analysis of current levels of performance against target shows the following:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • 44 (78.6%) have achieved a green RAG status • 6 (10.7%) have an amber RAG status • 6 (10.7%) have a red RAG status
1.09	<p>The performance indicator (PI) which showed a red RAG status for current performance against target, relevant to the Environment Overview & Scrutiny Committee.</p> <p>Green Council Percentage of environmentally efficient operational vehicles to Euro 6 standard</p> <p>Work continues with our fleet provider to meet our 90% target of environmentally efficient vehicles. Vehicles are replaced in line with the Demand Planning process as outlined in the Fleet Contract, which is currently at 79%. The replacement programme increases the number of newer and more energy efficient vehicles in the fleet, and decreases the total number of operated fleet vehicles.</p>
1.10	<p>Monitoring our Risks</p> <p>Analysis of the current risk levels for the strategic risks identified in the Council Plan is as follows: -</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • 3 (7%) are insignificant (green) • 4 (9%) are minor (yellow) • 29 (66%) are moderate (amber) • 8 (18%) are major (red) • 0 (0%) are severe (black)

1.11	<p>The major (red) risks identified for the Environment Overview & Scrutiny Committee are: -</p> <p>Priority: Green Council</p> <p>Funding will not be secured for priority flood alleviation schemes</p> <p>The Flood Risk Management Team continue to identify and secure funding for priority flood alleviation schemes. A service review is being undertaken to create a more effective structure that can both secure funding and resources to deliver flood alleviation works with the delivery of statutory duties under the Flood and Water Management Act. The implementation of Schedule 3 of the Flood & Water Management Act which requires the Local Authority to also act as the Sustainable Drainage Approving Body (SAB) as of January 7th 2019, places further duties on the team with no additional funding being made available from Welsh Government.</p> <p>Adverse weather conditions on the highway network</p> <p>The risk trend has increased due to the severity of the 2017/18 winter, with road conditions throughout the County detrimentally affected by road surface defects and potholes. Additional funds, resources and contractors were deployed across the county over summer in efforts to repair the network as defects were identified. This also included the priority resurfacing and patching capital schemes, which commenced in June 2018. This more expensive and permanent repair to the road surface is part of the annually planned maintenance regime which removed the significant defects and looked to improve the condition of the roads, whilst reducing the risk on the network.</p> <p>However, these efforts to mitigate the risk may not be sufficient to stem the decline in the network. This is backed up by scanner data results which show a worsening condition.</p>
------	---

2.00	RESOURCE IMPLICATIONS
2.01	There are no specific resource implications for this report.

3.00	CONSULTATIONS REQUIRED / CARRIED OUT
3.01	The Council Plan Priorities are monitored by the appropriate Overview and Scrutiny Committees according to the priority area of interest.
3.02	Chief Officers have contributed towards reporting of relevant information.

4.00	RISK MANAGEMENT
4.01	Progress against the risks identified in the Council Plan is included in the report at Appendix 1. Summary information for the risks assessed as major (red) is covered in paragraphs 1.09 and 1.11 above.

5.00	APPENDICES
5.01	Appendix 1 – Mid-year Council Plan Monitoring Report – Green Council.

6.00	LIST OF ACCESSIBLE BACKGROUND DOCUMENTS
6.01	<p>Council Plan 2017/18: http://www.flintshire.gov.uk/en/Resident/Council-and-Democracy/Improvement-Plan.aspx</p> <p>Contact Officer: Margaret Parry-Jones Telephone: 01352 702427 E-mail: margaret.parry-jones@flintshire.gov.uk</p>

7.00	GLOSSARY OF TERMS																																													
7.01	Council Plan: the document which sets out the annual priorities of the Council. It is a requirement of the Local Government (Wales) Measure 2009 to set Improvement Objectives and publish a Council Plan.																																													
7.02	Risks: These are assessed using the improved approach to risk management endorsed by Audit Committee in June 2015. The new approach, includes the use of a new and more sophisticated risk assessment matrix which provides greater opportunities to show changes over time.																																													
7.03	<p>Risk Likelihood and Impact Matrix</p> <table border="1"> <tr> <td rowspan="4">Impact Severity</td> <td>Catastrophic</td> <td>Y</td> <td>A</td> <td>R</td> <td>R</td> <td>B</td> <td>B</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Critical</td> <td>Y</td> <td>A</td> <td>A</td> <td>R</td> <td>R</td> <td>R</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Marginal</td> <td>G</td> <td>Y</td> <td>A</td> <td>A</td> <td>A</td> <td>R</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Negligible</td> <td>G</td> <td>G</td> <td>Y</td> <td>Y</td> <td>A</td> <td>A</td> </tr> <tr> <td colspan="2"></td> <td>Unlikely (5%)</td> <td>Very Low (15%)</td> <td>Low (30%)</td> <td>Significant (50%)</td> <td>Very High (65%)</td> <td>Extremely High (80%)</td> </tr> <tr> <td colspan="8" style="text-align: center;">Likelihood & Percentage of risk happening</td> </tr> </table>	Impact Severity	Catastrophic	Y	A	R	R	B	B	Critical	Y	A	A	R	R	R	Marginal	G	Y	A	A	A	R	Negligible	G	G	Y	Y	A	A			Unlikely (5%)	Very Low (15%)	Low (30%)	Significant (50%)	Very High (65%)	Extremely High (80%)	Likelihood & Percentage of risk happening							
Impact Severity	Catastrophic		Y	A	R	R	B	B																																						
	Critical		Y	A	A	R	R	R																																						
	Marginal		G	Y	A	A	A	R																																						
	Negligible	G	G	Y	Y	A	A																																							
		Unlikely (5%)	Very Low (15%)	Low (30%)	Significant (50%)	Very High (65%)	Extremely High (80%)																																							
Likelihood & Percentage of risk happening																																														

	<p>The new approach to risk assessment was created in response to recommendations in the Corporate Assessment report from the Wales Audit Office and Internal Audit.</p>
7.04	<p>CAMMS – An explanation of the report headings</p>
	<p>Actions</p> <p><u>Action</u> – Each sub-priority have high level activities attached to them to help achieve the outcomes of the sub-priority.</p> <p><u>Lead Officer</u> – The person responsible for updating the data on the action.</p> <p><u>Status</u> – This will either be ‘In progress’ if the action has a start and finish date or ‘Ongoing’ if it is an action that is longer term than the reporting year.</p> <p><u>Start date</u> – When the action started (usually the start of the financial year).</p> <p><u>End date</u> – When the action is expected to be completed.</p> <p><u>% complete</u> - The % that the action is complete at the time of the report. This only applies to actions that are ‘in progress’. An action that is ‘ongoing’ will not produce a % complete due to the longer-term nature of the action.</p> <p><u>Progress RAG</u> – Shows if the action at this point in time is making limited progress (Red), satisfactory progress (Amber) or good progress (Green).</p> <p><u>Outcome RAG</u> – Shows the level of confidence in achieving the outcomes for each action.</p> <p>Measures (Key Performance Indicators - KPIs)</p> <p><u>Pre. Year Period Actual</u> – The period actual at the same point in the previous year. If the KPI is a new KPI for the year then this will show as ‘no data’.</p> <p><u>Period Actual</u> – The data for this quarter.</p> <p><u>Period Target</u> – The target for this quarter as set at the beginning of the year.</p> <p><u>Perf. RAG</u> – This measures performance for the period against the target. It is automatically generated according to the data. Red = a position of under performance against target, Amber = a mid-position where improvement may have been made but performance has missed the target and Green = a position of positive performance against the target.</p> <p><u>Perf. Indicator Trend</u> – Trend arrows give an impression of the direction the performance is heading compared to the period of the previous year:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • A ‘downward arrow’ always indicates poorer performance regardless of whether a KPI figure means that less is better (e.g. the amount of days to deliver a grant or undertake a review) or if a KPI figure means that more is better (e.g. number of new jobs in Flintshire). • Similarly an ‘upward arrow’ always indicates improved performance. <p><u>YTD Actual</u> – The data for the year so far including previous quarters.</p> <p><u>YTD Target</u> – The target for the year so far including the targets of previous quarters.</p> <p><u>Outcome RAG</u> – The level of confidence of meeting the target by the end of the year. Low – lower level of confidence in the achievement of the target (Red), Medium – uncertain level of confidence in the achievement of the target (Amber) and High - full confidence in the achievement of the target (Green).</p> <p>Risks</p> <p><u>Risk Title</u> – Gives a description of the risk.</p>

Lead Officer – The person responsible for managing the risk.
Supporting Officer – The person responsible for updating the risk.
Initial Risk Rating – The level of the risk at the start of the financial year (quarter 1). The risks are identified as follows; insignificant (green), minor (yellow), moderate (amber), major (red) and severe (black).
Current Risk Rating – The level of the risk at this quarter.
Trend Arrow – This shows if the risk has increased (upward arrow), decreased (downward arrow) or remained the same between the initial risk rating and the current risk rating (stable arrow).
Risk Status – This will either show as 'open' or 'closed'. If a risk is open then it is still a relevant risk, if the risk is closed then it is no longer a relevant risk; a new risk may be generated where a plan or strategy moves into a new phase.